arXiv:1701.08132v1 [math.GT] 27 Jan 2017

ASYMPTOTIC MAPPING CLASS GROUPS OF CLOSED SURFACES PUNCTURED ALONG CANTOR SETS

JAVIER ARAMAYONA & LOUIS FUNAR

Abstract. For $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we study a subgroup $\mathcal{B}_g < \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ of *asymptotically rigid* mapping classes, for Σ_g a closed orientable surface of genus g minus a Cantor set. The group $\mathcal{B}_g$ contains the mapping class group of every compact orientable surface of genus g with non-empty boundary.

We show that $\mathcal{B}_g$ is finitely presented and that its k-th homology group coincides with the stable homology of the mapping class group of genus g, for k small enough with respct to g.

We then proceed to prove that the family of groups so obtained enjoys a number of properties analogous to known results for finite-type mapping class groups. More concretely, there are no (weakly) injective maps $\mathcal{B}_h \to \mathcal{B}_g$ if $g < h$, every automorphism of $\mathcal{B}_g$ is geometric, and every homomorphism from a higher-rank lattice to $\mathcal{B}_g$ has finite image.

In addition to the translation of classical results about finite-type mapping class groups, we will show that $\mathcal{B}_g$ is not linear, and does not have Kazhdan's Property (T).

Finally, in the appendix we will study the group $\mathcal{H}_g$ of *half-twists* of Σ_g, which sits between $\mathcal{B}_g$ and $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$. More concretely, we will prove that $\mathcal{H}_g$ is finitely presented, and that it is dense in $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Universal mapping class groups.** In this article we are interested in what we will call *universal mapping class groups* of genus $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$; these are groups that contain the mapping class group of every compact orientable surface of genus g with non-empty boundary.

Universal mapping class groups appear naturally in various contexts. As a first example, one can consider a set $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ of compact surfaces with boundary, equipped with the partial order given by surface inclusion, and take the direct limit of the corresponding mapping class groups. By construction, this direct limit is an infinitely-generated group which contains the mapping class group $\mathrm{Mod}(S_i)$ for every $i \in I$. This is the approach taken by Harer [35], and subsequently by many others, in order to study the so-called *stable homology* of the mapping class group; compare with the discussion around Theorem 1.2 below.

Date: January 30, 2017.

The first author was partially funded by grants RYC-2013-13008 and MTM2015-67781-P.

A more general approach is to consider the mapping class group of an appropriate *infinite-type* surface, i.e. with infinitely-generated fundamental group. In this direction, consider the surface Σ_g that results from removing a Cantor set from a closed orientable surface of genus $g \geq 0$. Then its mapping class group $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$, i.e. the group of isotopy classes of self-homeomorphisms of Σ_g, contains the mapping class group of every compact surface of genus g with non-empty boundary. The groups $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ were recently (re-)brought into the picture by Calegari [17], who remarked that every group that acts freely by homeomorphisms on a closed surface of genus g admits a natural homomorphism to $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$.

Observe, however, that $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ is certainly enormous: for instance, it surjects onto the homeomorphism group of a Cantor set (see e.g. (3) below), which is itself uncoutable. Before continuing we remark that, quite surprisingly, if one were to define $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ in terms of isotopy classes of *ambient diffeomorphisms* instead, then the resulting group turns out to be discrete, as proved recently in [29].

In any of the approaches described above, we see that the resulting universal mapping class group is (at least) infinitely-generated, and thus not that attractive from the point of view of geometric group theory. This obstacle was first overcome by [27] in the case of genus zero. More concretely, in that paper it is proved that the subgroup $\mathcal{B}_0 < \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_0)$ of *asymptotically rigid* mapping classes is finitely presented, and still contains the mapping class group of every compact surface of genus zero. Roughly speaking, the elements of $\mathcal{B}_0$ are mappping classes that preserve some kind of *rigid structure at infinity*, see section 2 for a formal definition.

Subsequently in [26], the same authors studied a similar asymptotically rigid mapping class group $\mathcal{B}_\infty$, this time for a surface Σ_∞ of infinite genus with a Cantor space of ends. They proved that $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ is finitely generated, and contains the mapping class group of *every* compact surface with non-empty boundary. Moreover, the homology of $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ coincides with the homology of the stable mapping class group.

1.2. **Results.** The main objective of this article is to extend the definition from [27] of an asymptotically rigid mapping class group (or asymptotic mapping class group, for short) $\mathcal{B}_g$, to every finite genus $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. By construction, the group $\mathcal{B}_g$ will be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$, and will contain the mapping class group of every compact surface of genus g with non-empty boundary. We will then prove that the groups $\mathcal{B}_g$ so obtained behave much like their finite-type counterparts. First of all, we will prove:

Theorem 1.1. *$\mathcal{B}_g$ is finitely presented, for every $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*

Next, along the lines of [26], we will observe that $\mathcal{B}_g$ is also universal in a homological sense. More concretely, let $S_{g,n}$ denote the connected orientable surface of genus g with n boundary components. A celebrated result of Harer [35] asserts that, for a fixed genus $g \geq 3$, the k-th homology group of the

pure mapping class group $\mathrm{PMod}(S_{g,n})$ is independent of n, provided that $k \leq 2g/3$; for this reason, this homology group is called the k-th *stable homology group* of the mapping class group of genus g. Using a translation of the proof of [26, Theorem 3.1] to our setting, we will show:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $g \geq 1$. For every $k \leq 2g/3$, the k-th homology group $H_k(\mathcal{B}_g, \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to the k-th stable homology group of the (pure) mapping class group of genus g.*

A result of Powell [47] asserts that $\mathrm{Mod}(S_g)$ is *perfect*, i.e. has trivial abelianization. As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the following analogue for asymptotically rigid mapping class groups:

Corollary 1.3. *$\mathcal{B}_g$ is perfect for every $g \geq 3$.*

Combining our definition of the groups $\mathcal{B}_g$ with the corresponding ones of $\mathcal{B}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_\infty$, from [27] and [26] respectively, we now have a family

$$\{\mathcal{B}_g \mid g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}\}$$

of finitely-generated groups (in fact, finitely-presented if $g < \infty$) such that $\mathcal{B}_g$ contains the mapping class group of every compact surface of genus g with non-empty boundary. Next, we proceed to study some properties of this family, in analogy with known and/or expected theorems about finite-type mapping class groups.

1.2.1. *Injective homomorphisms.* In the light of the results above, a first obvious question is whether the groups we have defined are pairwise distinct. This is indeed the case for mapping class groups of finite-type surfaces, where two of them are isomorphic if and only if the underlying surfaces are homeomorphic. This follows, for instance, as a combination of Harer's calculation of the virtual cohomological dimension of the mapping class group [36], plus the computation of the maximal rank of its abelian subgroups [8].

Observe that, in the case of the groups $\mathcal{B}_g$, both of these quantities are infinite. In spite of this, we will prove that the isomorphism type of $\mathcal{B}_g$ is completely determined by g. In fact, this will follow as a consequence of a stronger result, although we need a definition before stating it. Say that a homomorphism $\phi : G \to H$ between two groups is *A-injective* if for every subgroup $G_0 < G$ with $G_0 \cong \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have that $\phi_{|G_0}$ is injective. We will prove:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $g, h \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$. If $g < h$, then there are no A-injective maps from $\mathcal{B}_h$ to $\mathcal{B}_g$.*

Since $\mathcal{B}_g$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}^2$ (see Lemma 8.1) for all g, and isomorphisms are A-injective, we obtain:

Corollary 1.5. *Let $g, h \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$. Then $\mathcal{B}_g \cong \mathcal{B}_h$ if and only if $g = h$.*

A related question is to determine the automorphism group of $\mathcal{B}_g$. Recall that a celebrated theorem of Ivanov [39] asserts that the mapping class group

of a (sufficiently complicated) finite-type surface is *rigid*, that is, every automorphism is induced by a homeomorphism of the underlying surface. This result has recently been extended to the infinite-type setting by Patel-Vlamis [46], who proved that the pure mapping class group of Σ_g is rigid. Along similar lines, our next result asserts that $\mathcal{B}_g$ is also rigid. More concretely, denoting by $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ the automorphism group of G, we will show:

Theorem 1.6. *For every $g < \infty$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{B}_g)$ coincides with the normalizer $N_{\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)}(\mathcal{B}_g)$ of $\mathcal{B}_g$ within $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$.*

An immediate consequence of Ivanov's theorem in the finite-type case is that the outer automorphism group of $\mathrm{Mod}(S_{g,n})$ is finite; in fact, it is trivial for all but finitely many surfaces. However, in Corollary 1.7 we will see that this is no longer true for asymptotically rigid mapping class groups. More concretely, denote by $\mathrm{Out}(G)$ the outer automorphism group of a group G, that is, the group of conjugacy classes of automorphisms of G. We will prove:

Corollary 1.7. *For every $g < \infty$, $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{B}_g)$ is an infinite group.*

1.2.2. *Homomorphisms from lattices.* Building up on work of Ivanov [41] and Kaimanovich-Masur [42], Farb-Masur [24] proved that any homomorphism from a higher-rank lattice to a finite-type mapping class group has finite image (see also [6, 33] for different proofs of this result). In short, our next theorem is an analogue of Farb-Masur's theorem in the context of asymptotically rigid mapping class groups:

Theorem 1.8. *Let Γ be a lattice in a semisimple Lie group G of real rank at least two, where G has no compact factors isogenous to $\mathrm{SU}(1,n)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(1,n)$. For every $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, any homomorphism $\Gamma \to \mathcal{B}_g$ has finite image.*

1.2.3. *Kazhdan's Property (T).* A compactly generated group has *Kazhdan's Property (T)* if every unitary representation that has almost invariant vectors also has an invariant vector. Since we will not need any further details about Property (T), we simply refer the reader to the book [5] for details.

It is expected [1] that mapping class groups of finite-type surfaces do not have Property (T). Using similar arguments to the ones in the proof of Theorem 1.8, we will observe:

Theorem 1.9. *Let $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$. Then $\mathcal{B}_g$ does not have Property (T).*

1.2.4. *Non-linearity.* A well-known outstanding question about finite-type mapping class groups asks whether they are *linear*, that is, whether they admit an injective homomorphism into $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The only known result in this direction is a theorem of Bigelow-Budney [7], who proved that the mapping class group of the closed surface of genus two is linear, by exploiting its relation with braid groups.

In sharp contrast, in Proposition 11.1 we will see that $\mathcal{B}_g$ contains an isomorphic copy of Thompson's group F, for all $g < \infty$. Combining this with Theorem 1.1, we have:

Theorem 1.10. *If $g < \infty$ then $\mathcal{B}_g$ is not linear.*

1.2.5. *A related universal group.* Finally, in the appendix we will study the group of *half-twists* $\mathcal{H}_g$ of Σ_g, where $g < \infty$. The group $\mathcal{H}_g$ is a close relative of $\mathcal{B}_g$, whose elements are isotopy classes of *asymptotically quasi-rigid* homeomorphisms of Σ_g; see section 3. As will become evident from the definitions, $\mathcal{B}_g \subset \mathcal{H}_g$.

We remark that the group $\mathcal{H}_0$ first appeared (under the name $\mathcal{B}^{1/2}$) [28], where it is proved that it is finitely generated, with respect to an explicit generating set.

Using similar arguments as the ones employed with $\mathcal{B}_g$, we will prove that $\mathcal{H}_g$ is in fact finitely presented for all g, and that its automorphism group is again isomorphic to its normalizer within $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$. However, the main interest of the group $\mathcal{H}_g$ resides in our next result, which asserts that $\mathcal{H}_g$ serves as a finitely-presented approximation of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$; recall that this latter group is uncountable. More concretely, endowing $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ with the quotient topology coming from the compact-open topology in $\mathrm{Homeo}(\Sigma_g)$, we will prove:

Theorem 1.11. *For every $g < \infty$, the group $\mathcal{H}_g$ is dense in* $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$.

Remark 1.12. In a related direction, a consequence of a recent result of Patel-Vlamis [46] is that $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ is topologically generated by Dehn twists.

Plan of the paper. In section 2 we review some basic definitions on surfaces and their mapping class groups. In section 3 we will define the notion of a rigid structure on the surfaces Σ_g. This will be used in section 4 to define asymptotically rigid mapping class groups. In section 5 we will explore the relation of asymptotically rigid mapping class groups and Thompson's group V by means of a short exact sequence,which will be a key ingredient in the proof of many of our main results. We then proceed to prove the results mentioned in the introduction. In this direction, Theorem 1.1 will be proved in section 6. Section 7 will deal with the proof of Theorem 1.2. In section 8 we will prove Theorem 1.4, while section 9 is concerned with the proof of Theorem 1.6. In section 10 we will establish Theorems 1.8 and 1.9. Finally, in the appendix we will introduce the group of half-twists and prove it is dense in the mapping class group.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Y. Antolín, J. Bavard, J. Hernández, C. Kapoudjian, T. Koberda, C. Martínez-Pérez, H. Parlier, J. Souto, and N. Vlamis for conversations. We are indebted to Juan Souto for suggesting the proof of Lemma 9.4. Finally, we thank the authors of [38] for sharing their preprint with us.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some of the basic definitions about surfaces and their mapping class groups.

2.1. **Curves and surfaces.** Let S be a connected orientable surface of negative Euler characteristic. If the fundamental group of S is finitely generated, we will say that S has *finite type*; otherwise, we say that it has *infinite-type*.

By a *curve* on S we mean the isotopy class of a simple closed curve on S which does not bound a disk, a once-punctured disk, or an annulus whose other boundary curve is a boundary component of S. We say that two curves are *disjoint* if they have disjoint representatives on S; otherwise we say that they intersect. Given curves $a, b \subset S$, we define their *intersection number* $i(a, b)$ as the minimal number of points of intersection between representatives.

A *multicurve* on S is a set of pairwise disjoint curves on S. We say that a multicurve M is *locally finite* if any compact subsurface of S intersects only finitely many elements of M. A *pants decomposition* of S is a locally-finite multicurve that is maximal with respect to inclusion; as such, its complement on S is a disjoint union of 3-holed spheres, or *pairs of pants*.

Finally, an *arc* on S is a non-trivial isotopy class of properly embedded arcs on S.

2.2. **The space of ends.** It is well-known that the homeomorphism type of a finite-type surface is completely determined by its genus and number of punctures and/or boundary components. In a similar fashion, there is also a classification of infinite-type surfaces up to homeomorphism [49], this time in terms of its genus and the topological type of its *space of ends*. We now briefly recall these definitions and results, referring the reader to [49] for a thorough discussion.

Let S be a connected orientable surface of infinite type. By an *ending sequence* on S we mean a collection $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \dots$ of connected open subsets of S, such that E_i is not relatively compact, ∂E_i is compact, and every relatively compact subset of S is disjoint from all but finitely many E_i. We declare two ending sequences to be *equivalent* if every element of one is contained in some element of the other. An *end* of S is then an equivalence class of ending sequences. We will write $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ for the set of ends of S, which becomes a topological space after specifying the following basis for a topology: given a subset $U \subset S$ with compact boundary, let U^* be the set of all ends of S that have a representative exiting sequence that is eventually contained in U.

The following theorem is a special case of Theorem 1.5 in [48]:

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be a connected orientable surface of infinite topological type. Then* $\mathrm{Ends}(S)$ *is totally disconnected, separable, and compact; in particular, it is a subset of a Cantor set.*

We now recall the classification of infinite-type surfaces up to homeomorphism [49]. Before doing so, we need a definition. Let S be a connected orientable surface of infinite type. A *planar end* of S is a end defined by an ending sequence whose elements are eventually planar. Otherwise, the end is said to be *non-planar*. Write $\mathrm{Ends}^p(S)$ and $\mathrm{Ends}^n(S)$,

respectively, for the subspaces of planar and non-planar ends of S. Clearly $\mathrm{Ends}(S) = \mathrm{Ends}^p(S) \sqcup \mathrm{Ends}^n(S)$. In [49], Richards proved:

Theorem 2.2 ([49])**.** *Let S and S' be two connected orientable surfaces. Then S and S' are homeomorphic if and only if they have the same genus, and $\mathrm{Ends}^n(S) \subset \mathrm{Ends}(S)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathrm{Ends}^n(S') \subset \mathrm{Ends}(S')$ as nested topological spaces. That is, there exist a homeomorphism*

$$h : \mathrm{Ends}(S) \to \mathrm{Ends}(S')$$

whose restriction to $\mathrm{Ends}^n(S)$ defines a homeomorphism between $\mathrm{Ends}^n(S)$ and $\mathrm{Ends}^n(S')$.

In what follows we will concentrate solely on connected orientable surfaces of infinite topological type and *without isolated ends*. Note that, in the light of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, such a surface is homeomorpic to either a closed surface of finite genus $g \geq 0$ with a Cantor set removed, or to a surface of infinite genus whose space of ends is homeomorphic to a Cantor set. We remark that the latter is sometimes known as the *blooming Cantor tree*.

Notation. We will write Σ_g for the surface obtained by removing a Cantor set from a closed surface of genus g, and Σ_∞ for the surface of infinite genus with no isolated ends and whose space of ends is homeomorphic to a Cantor set. In the finite-type case, we will denote by $S_{g,n}$ the connected orientable surface of genus g with n boundary components.

2.3. **Mapping class group.** Let S be a connected orientable surface, possibly of infinite type. The *mapping class group* $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$ is the group of isotopy classes of self-homeomorphisms of S; if S has boundary, we will assume that it is parametrized, and require that homeomorphisms and isotopies respect this parametrization. In particular, an element of $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$ may induce a non-trivial permutation on the set of boundary components of S.

Before continuing we observe that, while $\mathrm{Mod}(S_{g,n})$ is finitely presented (and hence discrete) the group $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ is uncountable; indeed, it surjects onto the homeomorphism group of a Cantor set, see the exact sequence (3) below.

We record the following immediate observation for further use:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$. Then $\mathrm{Mod}(S_{h,n})$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$, for every $h \leq g$ and every $n \geq 2$ (in fact, for $n \geq 1$ if $h = g$).*

In what follows, we will need to make use of the following subgroups of $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$. The *pure mapping class group* $\mathrm{PMod}(S)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$ whose elements induce the trivial permutation on the space of ends and fix each boundary component pointwise. If $S = \Sigma_g$, the *compactly supported* (pure) mapping class group $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ whose elements are the identity outside a compact subsurface of Σ_g, called a *support*; note that such a mapping class may be naturally regarded as an element of the mapping class group of a finite-type surface. Finally, observe that both $\mathrm{PMod}(\Sigma_g)$ and $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ are infinitely-generated groups.

3. Rigid structures on surfaces

In this section, we briefly review the definition of rigid structure for Σ_0 and Σ_∞, introduced in [27] and [26] respectively, and generalize this notion to arbitrary g.

3.1. **Genus zero.** We recall the definition of rigid structure for Σ_0 from [27]; the reader should keep figure 1 in mind. A *rigid structure* on Σ_0 is a triple (P, A, Σ_0^+), where:

- P is a pants decomposition of Σ_0, called the *pants decomposition underlying the rigid structure*,
- A is a set of arcs on Σ_0 with the following properties:
  (1) Each arc joins two distinct ends Σ_0,
  (2) For every pair of pants $Y \subset \Sigma_0 - P$, there are exactly three elements of A intersecting Y essentially, each of them connecting two different boundary curves of Y,
- Σ_0^+ is one of the two connected components of $\Sigma_0 - \bigcup_{a\in A} a$, called the *visible side* of Σ_0.



Figure 1. The rigid structure on Σ_0.

Observe that, up to the action of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_0)$, there is only one rigid structure on Σ_0.

3.1.1. *Isolated punctures.* It will be useful later to extend the concept of a rigid structure to the surface Σ_0^b obtained from Σ_0 by removing a finite collection of $b \geq 1$ points. We then define a rigid structure on Σ_0^b as a rigid structure on the surface obtained from Σ_0^b by filling every isolated puncture (which is homeomorphic to Σ_0), subject to the condition that every isolated puncture of Σ_0^b is contained in the same connected component of $\Sigma_0 - P$, where P is the pants decomposition underlying the rigid structure of Σ_0.

3.2. **Finite positive genus.** Consider the case of $1 < g < \infty$. A *rigid structure* on Σ_g consists of a curve $c \subset \Sigma_g$ that cuts off a disk containing every puncture of Σ_g, together with a rigid structure for the planar component of $\Sigma_g - c$, namely the one homeomorphic to Σ_0^1.

3.3. **Infinite genus.** We now recall the definition from [26] of rigid structure for Σ_∞. To this end, it is useful to realize Σ_∞ as follows; the reader should keep figure 2 for this discussion.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the infinite trivalent tree, and for simplicity consider it as embedded in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ inside $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Now, glue an *edge-loop* (that is, an edge with a loop at one of its vertices) to the midpoint of every edge of $\mathcal{T}$, such that the edge of the edge-loop is vertical. Denote by $\mathcal{T}^*$ the graph so obtained, and observe that the boundary of a thickening of $\mathcal{T}^*$ is homeomorphic to Σ_∞. Moreover, the graph $\mathcal{T}^*$ is embedded inside Σ_∞ as a cross-section of the obvious fiber projection.



FIGURE 2. The rigid structure on Σ_∞.

This realization of the surface equips Σ_∞ with a preferred pants decomposition, which we denote P; see Figure 2. The *rigid structure* for Σ_∞ is the pair $(P, \mathcal{T}^*)$, where we regard $\mathcal{T}^*$ as embedded in Σ_∞. Again, we call P the *pants decomposition underlying the rigid structure*.

Before finishing this subsection, it will be useful to distinguish the following two types of pairs of pants in $\Sigma_\infty - P$:

- A *vertex pair of pants* is a pair of pants in $\Sigma_\infty - P$ such that each of the boundary curves separates Σ_∞ into two connected components of infinite genus. Intuitively, such a pair of pants corresponds to a vertex of $\mathcal{T}$.
- An *edge pair of pants* is a pair of pants in $\Sigma_\infty - P$ whose boundary curves are separating, and one of them cuts bounds a one-holed torus in Σ_∞.

4. The asymptotic mapping class group

In this section we will introduce the asymptotically rigid mapping class groups (or asymptotic mapping class groups, for short) $\mathcal{B}_g$ for arbitrary $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$. Informally speaking, the elements of $\mathcal{B}_g$ are mapping classes that asymptotically preserve the rigid structure defined in the previous section. As mentioned above, we remark that the cases $g \in \{0, \infty\}$ first were previously introduced in [27, 26]; however, we also include them in the discussion here in order to make the discussion as self-contained as possible.

4.1. **Asymptotically rigid homeomorphisms.** As was the case in the previous section, the situation is slightly different if g is finite or infinite, and hence we will discuss these cases separately.

4.1.1. *Finite genus.* Let $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and suppose Σ_g has been endowed with the rigid structure defined in the previous section. As usual, write P for the pants decomposition underlying this rigid structure.

Definition 4.1 (Suited subsurface)**.** We say that a compact subsurface $Z \subset \Sigma_g$ is *P-suited* if $\partial Z \subset P$.

We now extend the definition of *asymptotically rigid* homeomorphism from [27] and [28] to arbitrary finite g:

Definition 4.2 (Asymptotically rigid homeomorphism)**.** We say that a homeomorphism $f : \Sigma_g \to \Sigma_g$ is *asymptotically rigid* if there exists a P–suited subsurface $Z \subset \Sigma_g$ with $f(Z)$ also P–suited, and such that the restriction homeomorphism $f : \Sigma_g - Z \to \Sigma_g - f(Z)$ sends:

- $P \cap (\Sigma_g - Z)$ to $P \cap (\Sigma_g - f(Z))$,
- $A \cap (\Sigma_g - Z)$ to $A \cap (\Sigma_g - f(Z))$,
- The visible side of $\Sigma_g - Z$ to the visible side of $\Sigma_g - f(Z)$.

If we drop the last requirement we say that the homomeorphism f is *asymptotically quasi-rigid* (this generalization will be heavily used in the appendix).

Notation. Given an asymptotically rigid (resp. quasi-rigid) homeomorphism f as above, we will refer to the subsurface Z in the definition above as a *defining subsurface* (resp. *generalized defining subsurface*) for f.

4.1.2. *Infinite genus.* We now review the definition of an asymptotically rigid homeomorphism of the surface Σ_∞ given in [26] . Recall from Definition 4.4 that in this case the rigid structure consists of a pants decomposition P and a graph $\mathcal{T}^*$ embedded in Σ_∞.

Definition 4.3 (Suited subsurface)**.** We say that a compact subsurface Z of Σ_∞ is *P–suited* if the following holds:

(1) Every component of ∂Z is a boundary curve of a vertex pair of pants in $\Sigma_\infty - P$,

(2) If a boundary curve of an edge pair of pants $Y \subset \Sigma_\infty - P$ is contained in ∂Z, then $Y \subset Z$.

As for asymptotically rigid homeomorphisms, we have:

Definition 4.4 (Asymptotically rigid homeomorphism)**.** We say that a homeomorphim $f : \Sigma_\infty \to \Sigma_\infty$ is *asymptotically rigid* if:

- There exists a P–suited subsurface $Z \subset \Sigma_\infty$ such that $f(Z)$ is also P–suited.
- The restriction homeomorphism $\Sigma_\infty - Z \to \Sigma_\infty - f(Z)$ sends:
  (i) $P \cap (\Sigma_\infty - Z)$ to $P \cap (\Sigma_\infty - f(Z))$;
  (ii) $T^* \cap (\Sigma_\infty - Z)$ to $T^* \cap (\Sigma_\infty - f(Z))$

Notation. As in the finite-genus case, we will refer to the surface Z in the definition above as a *defining subsurface* for the homeomorphism f.

4.2. **The group $\mathcal{B}_g$.** We are finally in a position to define the asymptotic mapping class group:

Definition 4.5 (Asymptotic mapping class group)**.** Let $g, b \geq 0$. The *asymptotic mapping class group* $\mathcal{B}_g$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_0^b$, $\mathcal{B}_\infty$) is the group of isotopy classes of asymptotically rigid homeomorphisms of Σ_g (resp. Σ_0^b, Σ_∞).

Recall that $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ whose elements have compact support and induce the identity map on the space of ends of Σ_g. Observe that we have a natural inclusion

$$\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g) \subset B_g$$

We now give an alternate description of the group $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ which will be useful in the sequel. To this end, denote again by P the pants decomposition underlying the rigid structure on Σ_g. Consider the set

$$\{S \subset \Sigma_g \mid S \text{ is a (compact) P-suited subsurface }\},$$

which has the structure of a partially ordered set with respect to subsurface inclusion. Then, the following is easily verified:

Lemma 4.6. *For every $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, we have*

$$\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g) \cong \varinjlim(\mathrm{PMod}(S)),$$

where S varies in the family of P-suited subsurfaces of Σ_g. Moreover, if $g < \infty$ then S may be assumed to have genus g.

5. The relation with Thompson's group V

As it turns out, asymptotic mapping class groups are strongly related to Thompson's groups; as a matter of fact, this relation will be behind the proof of most of our main results.

Recall that *Thompson's group V* is the group of right-continous bijections of the unit circle that map the set of dyadic rationals to itself, are

differentiable except at finitely many points, and on every interval of differentiability they are affine maps whose derivatives are powers of 2. We refer the reader to the standard reference [18] for a thorough discussion on the different Thompson's groups.

Extending results of [27, 26], we will prove:

Proposition 5.1. *For every $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, there is a short exact sequence*

$$1 \to \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g) \to \mathcal{B}_g \to V. \tag{1}$$

Remark 5.2. When $g \in \{0, \infty\}$, Proposition 5.1 is shown in [27] and [26], respectively. Furthermore, in those papers it is proved that the sequence (1) does not split in these cases, although it does split over Thompson's group T when $g = 0$; compare with Proposition 11.1.

In order to prove Proposition 5.1, it will be useful to work with a different incarnation of the group V. Namely, every element of V is encoded by a *symbol* (T, T', σ), where T and T' are finite, *reduced* rooted trees with the same number of leaves, and σ is a bijection between the set of leaves of T and T'; see [18] for an expanded definition (although this is essentially contained in the proof of Proposition 5.1), as well as a proof of the equivalence of the two definitions of V.

Armed with this equivalent definition of Thompson's group V, we adapt the arguments of [27, Section 2] in order to prove Proposition 5.1

Proof of Proposition 5.1. As mentioned above, the cases $g = 0$ and $g = \infty$ are covered in [27] and [26], respectively. Thus, we assume that $1 \leq g < \infty$. Fix a rigid structure on Σ_g, and let c be the separating curve used to define the rigid structure, as described in 3.2. Write P for the pants decomposition underlying the rigid structure, which recall is a pants decomposition of the planar component of $\Sigma_g - c$. The dual tree to this pants decomposition is naturally a rooted 3-valent tree $\mathcal{T}$, where the root corresponds to the unique pair of pants of $\Sigma_g - P$ having c as boundary curve.

Define an *admissible subtree* of $\mathcal{T}$ as a subtree T whose vertices are either 1-valent or 3-valent. As usual, a 1-valent vertex of T is called a *leaf*. The set of leaves of T is denoted ∂T, and the cardinality of ∂T is called the *level* of T. Given a leaf v of T, we denote by T_v the admissible tree obtained from T by adding to T the two vertices of $\mathcal{T} - T$ adjacent to v. We remark that using the inclusion of T and T_v into $\mathcal{T}$, one may distinguish the two vertices of $T_v - T$ as the *left* and *right* vertices of $T_v - T$. We will denote these as v_L and v_R, respectively.

As above we define a *symbol* as a triple (T, T', σ), where T and T' are admissible subtrees of equal level, and $\sigma : \partial T \to \partial T'$ is a bijection. Given a symbol (T, T', σ) and $v \in \partial T$, we say (T, T', σ) and $(T_v, T_{\sigma(v)}, \sigma_v)$ are *leaf-equivalent*, where σ_v is the unique extension of σ to T_v that preserves left and right. Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation on the set of symbols generated by

leaf-equivalence, and G be the set of equivalence classes of symbols. The set G turns into a group as follows. Given two elements of G, we first represent them as symbols (T, T', σ) and (T', T'', τ) and set

$$(T, T', \sigma) \cdot (T', T'', \tau) := (T, T'', \tau \circ \sigma);$$

the identity element is the class of (T, T, id), where T is any admissible subtree. It is easy to see that the product operation in G is well-defined and that, with respect to this operation, G is isomorphic to Thompson's group V.

After this considerations, we define a homomorphism $\mathcal{B}_g \to V$ as follows. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_g$ and consider a defining subsurface Z for f. Up to enlarging Z, we may assume that Z has genus g. We associate to f the symbol $(T, T', \sigma) \in V$, where T (resp. T') is the minimal admissible subtree of $\mathcal{T}$ contained in Z (resp. $f(Z)$), and σ is the bijection between the sets of leaves of T and T', respectively, induced by the permutation between the sets of boundary components of Z and $f(Z)$ given by f.

Now, one easily checks that this correspondence gives rise to a well-defined homomorphism $\mathcal{B}_g \to V$, which is clearly surjective.

We claim that the kernel of this homomorphism is exactly $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$. Indeed, suppose $f \in \mathcal{B}_g$ fixes every end of Σ_g, and consider a non-empty defining subsurface Z for f. Since f does not permute ends we may assume, up to enlarging Z, that every component of $\Sigma_g - Z$ is mapped to itself. Therefore, after further enlarging Z if necessary, we deduce that f is the identity outside Z, and hence has compact support, as desired. □

5.1. **A more general exact sequence.** When $g < \infty$ the short exact sequence (1) is a manifestation of a more general phenomenon, which we now explain. Consider the surface Σ_g; as mentioned above, the space of ends $\mathrm{Ends}(\Sigma_g)$ is homeomorphic to a Cantor set, which we will denote C in order to relax notation. Observe that any homeomorphism of Σ_g induces a homeomorphism of C, and thus we have a homomorphism

$$\epsilon : \mathrm{Homeo}(\Sigma_g) \to \mathrm{Homeo}(C) \tag{2}$$

Now, every Cantor set on the plane is *tame*, meaning that, up to homeomorphism, it is contained in some line; in particular, it is homeomorphic to the standard triadic Cantor set. A theorem of Scĕpin (see e.g. [51, Theorem 1]) states that any homeomorphism of the standard triadic Cantor set $C \subset [0,1] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ extends to a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^2$; moreover, this homeomorphism can be assumed to be identity outside a large enough ball. These considerations imply the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3. *The natural homomorphism $\epsilon : \mathrm{Homeo}(\Sigma_g) \to \mathrm{Homeo}(C)$ is surjective.*

Moreover, $\mathrm{Homeo}(C)$ is a simple group [2], and thus the connected component of the identity in $\mathrm{Homeo}(C)$ is trivial. Therefore, the homomorphism

ϵ descends to a surjective homomorphism

$$\epsilon : \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g) \to \mathrm{Homeo}(C), \tag{3}$$

using a slight abuse of notation. Observe that the kernel of this homeomorphism is exactly the pure mapping class group $\mathrm{PMod}(\Sigma_g)$, and thus we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{PMod}(\Sigma_g) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g) \to \mathrm{Homeo}(C) \to 1 \tag{4}$$

If we replace $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ by the asymptotic mapping class group $\mathcal{B}_g$ in equation (4), we obtain:

$$1 \to \mathrm{PMod}(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{B}_g \to \mathcal{B}_g \to \mathrm{Homeo}_{\mathcal{B}_g}(C) \to 1, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathrm{Homeo}_{\mathcal{B}_g}(C)$ denotes the image of $\mathcal{B}_g$ in $\mathrm{Homeo}(C)$ under the homomorphism ϵ of (3). We claim that we have already encountered the groups to the left and right of $\mathcal{B}_g$ in equation (5), under other guises. First, by the same argument that we used to determine the kernel of the homomorphism $\mathcal{B}_g \to V$, we have:

Lemma 5.4. *For every genus g, we have* $\mathrm{PMod}(\Sigma) \cap \mathcal{B}_g = \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$.

At this point, the combination of equations (1) and (5), plus the First Isomorphism Theorem, together imply:

Corollary 5.5. $\mathrm{Homeo}_{\mathcal{B}_g}(C)$ *is isomorphic to Thompson's group V.*

6. $\mathcal{B}_g$ is finitely presented

In this section we prove that asymptotic mapping class groups of finite positive genus are finitely presented. As mentioned in the introduction, the case of genus zero was originally settled in [27], and in fact will be a key ingredient in our arguments:

Theorem 6.1 ([27])**.** *The group $\mathcal{B}_0$ is finitely presented.*

It will be useful for us to give a brief description of the arguments used in [27] for proving Theorem 6.1. The first ingredient, which will also play a central rôle here, is the following well-known result of Brown [15]:

Theorem 6.2 ([15])**.** *Let G be a group acting on a connected and simply-connected CW-complex X by permuting its cells. Suppose that:*

1. *The stabilizer of each vertex of X is finitely presented;*
2. *The stabilizer of every edge of X is finitely generated;*
3. *X/G is cocompact.*

Then G is finitely presented.

In [27], Funar-Kapoudjian applied Theorem 6.2 to the action of $\mathcal{B}_0$ on a modification of the so-called *pants complex* [37] of Σ_0. More concretely, they first consider a graph $\mathcal{P}_0$ whose vertices are (isotopy classes of) pants decompositions of Σ_0 which differ from the pants decomposition underlying the rigid structure in a finite number of curves. An edge of $\mathcal{P}_0$ is given by

two pants decompositions that are related by an *elementary move*, meaning that they differ in exactly two curves, which intersect exactly once (resp. twice) if their union fills a one-holed torus (resp. a four-holed sphere); see [37] for details.

Using the same proof of the main result of Hatcher-Thurston [37], one deduces that the graph $\mathcal{P}_0$ is connected, and that it becomes a simply-connected 2-complex after gluing a 2-cell to every *triangle*, *square*, and *pentagon* of $\mathcal{P}_0$. However, it turns out that the action of $\mathcal{B}_0$ on $\mathcal{P}_0$ is not cocompact, as there are infinitely many $\mathcal{B}_0$-orbits of squares [27, Proposition 5.4]; in particular, Theorem 6.2 cannot be applied to this situation. In order to overcome this, Funar-Kapoudjian construct a modification of $\mathcal{P}_0$, called the *reduced* pants complex, by considering only two combinatorial types of squares. They then prove that $\mathcal{B}_0$ acts satistying all the hypothesis of Theorem 6.2, as desired.

In fact, a minor variation of the arguments in [27] serves to prove the following strengthening of Theorem 6.1, which will be crucial for us:

Proposition 6.3. *For every $b \in \mathbb{N}$, the group $\mathcal{B}_0^b$ is finitely presented.*

Sketch proof. The definition of the reduced pants complex from [27] makes sense also for Σ_0^b, with arbitrary b. By the same arguments as in [37], this complex is connected and simply-connected and, using the same reasoning as in [27], $\mathcal{B}_0^b$ acts cocompactly on it. The stabilizer of every cell is an extension of a finite permutation group by a finitely generated free abelian group, and in particular finitely presented. At this point, the result follows from Theorem 6.2. □

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.1, whose statement we now recall:

Theorem 1.1. *For every $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the group $\mathcal{B}_g$ is finitely presented.*

In the light of Theorem 6.1, it suffices to show the result for g positive. In order to do so, we will also use Brown's Theorem 6.2, this time using the action of $\mathcal{B}_g$ on the so-called *cut-system complex* $\mathcal{K}_g$ of Σ_g. We remark that cut-system complexes of finite-type surfaces were in fact used by Hatcher-Thurston [37] and Wajnryb [52] in order to compute finite presentations of mapping class groups.

We now introduce the complex $\mathcal{K}_g$. For concreteness, we choose to write the definitions for the surface Σ_g, although they make sense for an arbitrary connected orientable surface, of finite or infinite type.

A *cut system* of Σ_g is a set of g curves whose union does not separate Σ_g; in particular, each of the curves is non-separating. Let $\mathcal{K}_g$ be the simplicial graph whose vertices are cut systems on Σ_g, and where two cut systems are adjacent in $\mathcal{K}_g$ if they differ in exactly two curves, and these intersect exactly once.

Moreover, similar to the case of the pants complex, $\mathcal{K}_g$ will become a simply-connected 2-complex after gluing a 2-cell to certain circuits in $\mathcal{K}_g$. Before explaining this, we need to borrow some definitions from [37, 52]. A

triangle in $\mathcal{K}_g$ consists of three pairwise-adjacent vertices of $\mathcal{K}_g$; geometrically, a triangle corresponds to three curves that pairwise intersect exaclt once. A *square* in $\mathcal{K}_g$ is a closed path with four vertices $v_1, \dots, v_4$ such that v_i and v_j are adjacent in $\mathcal{K}_g$ if and only if $|i-j| = 1 \mod 4$; geometrically, a square corresponds to two elementary moves that occur in two disjoint one-holed tori. Finally, a *pentagon* in $\mathcal{K}_g$ consists of five vertices $v_1, \dots, v_5$ such that v_i and v_j are adjacent in $\mathcal{K}_g$ if and only if $|i-j| = 1 \mod 5$; geometrically, a pentagon is determined by five curves $c_1, \dots, c_5$ on Σ_g such that $c_i, c_{i+1} \in v_i$ and $i(c_i, c_{i+2}) = 1$, counting indices modulo 5.

Armed with these definitions, we construct a 2-complex by gluing a 2-cell to every triangle, square, and pentagon of $\mathcal{K}_g$. By a slight abuse of notation, we will denote the resulting complex by $\mathcal{K}_g$ also. The following result is due to Hatcher-Thurston [37] and Wajnryb [52]:

Theorem 6.4 ([37, 52])**.** *For every $g \in \mathbb{N}$, the complex $\mathcal{K}_g$ is connected and simply-connected.*

Proof. First, Hatcher-Thurston [37] and Wajnryb [52] proved that the cut-system complex of a finite-type surface is connected and simply-connected. To see that this is also the case for $\mathcal{K}_g$ observe that, for every finite set of vertices $A \subset \mathcal{K}_g$, the union of the curves defining the elements of A together fill a finite-type subsurface of Σ_g. □

We are finally in a position to prove Theorem 1.1:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As mentioned earlier, we are going to apply Theorem 6.2 to the action of $\mathcal{B}_g$ on $\mathcal{K}_g$.

First of all, Theorem 6.4 tells us that $\mathcal{K}_g$ is connected and simply-connected. Now, the classification theorem for infinite-type surfaces (Theorem 2.2 above) and the fact that vertices are defined by a finite set of curves, together imply that $\mathcal{B}_g$ acts transitively on the set of vertices of $\mathcal{K}_g$. Since edges and 2-cells of $\mathcal{K}_g$ are defined in terms of intersection numbers, and by a finite set of curves, we deduce that $\mathcal{B}_g$ acts cocompactly on $\mathcal{K}_g$.

Thus, it remains to justify why the stabiliser of a vertex (resp. edge) is finitely presented (resp. finitely generated). Consider first the case of the stabiliser of a vertex u of $\mathcal{K}_g$. Fix an orientation on each curve of u. Up to passing to a subgroup of finite index, and abusing notation, we may assume that every element of $\mathrm{Stab}(u)$ fixes every curve of u together with its orientation. Now, cutting Σ_g open along the elements of u we obtain a surface homeomorphic to Σ_0^b, and a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}^g \to \mathrm{Stab}(u) \to \mathcal{B}_0^{2g} \to 1,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}^g$ is the group generated by the Dehn twists along the elements of u. By Proposition 6.3, we deduce that (a finite-index subgroup of) $\mathrm{Stab}(u)$ is finitely presented, as desired.

Using a totally analogous reasoning, we deduce that (a finite-index subgroup of) the stabiliser of an edge $e \in \mathcal{K}_g$ fits in a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}^{g-1} \to \mathrm{Stab}(e) \to \mathcal{B}_0^{2g-1} \to 1.$$

Therefore, the stabiliser of an edge is finitely presented, and in particular finitely generated. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1 □

Remark. The case $g = \infty$. In [26], Funar-Kapoudjian proved that the group $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ is finitely generated. However, the methods used here to prove that $\mathcal{B}_g$ is finitely presented (for g finite) do not apply to the case of $\mathcal{B}_\infty$. Nevertheless, it is plausible that, along the lines of the arguments of [27] described above, one can find a suitable definition of a *reduced pants complex* of Σ_∞ for which the action of $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ satisfies the hypotheses of Brown's Theorem 6.2. In the light of this discussion, we close this section with the following question:

Question 6.5. *Is the group $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ finitely presented?*

7. Stable homology

In this section, we explain the methods of [26], and show how to adapt them to prove Theorem 1.2. Recall this result asserts that the rational k-th homology of $\mathcal{B}_g$ is equal to the stable rational k-th homology group of the mapping class group of genus g, provided k is suitably small compared to g. We commence by defining all these terms.

As always, denote by $S_{g,n}$ the connected orientable surface of genus g with n boundary components, and let $\mathrm{PMod}(S_{g,n})$ be its pure mapping class group; recall that the elements of $\mathrm{PMod}(S_{g,n})$ fix each boundary component pointwise. For $n \geq 1$, gluing a pair of pants to a boundary component of $S_{g,n}$ gives rise to an injective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{PMod}(S_{g,n}) \to \mathrm{PMod}(S_{g,n+1}).$$

In particular, one has an injective homomorphism

$$H_k(\mathrm{PMod}(S_{g,n}), \mathbb{Z}) \to H_k(\mathrm{PMod}(S_{g,n+1}), \mathbb{Z}) \tag{6}$$

between the corresponding homology groups. In [35], Harer proved that the k-th homology group of $\mathrm{PMod}(S_{g,n})$ does not depend on n, provided k is sufficiently small with respect to g. The current best bound for what "sufficiently small" means is due to Boldsen [11] who, building up on unpublished work of Harer [34], proved the following: :

Theorem 7.1 ([35, 11])**.** *Let $g, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $g \geq 0$ and $n, k \geq 1$. Then, the homomorphism* (6) *is an isomorphism for every $k \leq 2g/3$.*

By Lemma 4.6, the pure mapping class group $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ is the direct limit of the (partially-ordered) set of pure mapping class groups of the family

of P-suited subsurfaces of Σ_g, where P denotes the pants decomposition of Σ_g underlying the rigid structure. In particular, by Theorem 7.1,

$$H_k(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_k(\mathrm{PMod}(S_{g,n}), \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $n \geq 1$, provided $k \leq 2g/3$. For this reason, we will refer to the homology group $H_k(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Z})$ as the *stable* rational k-th homology group of the pure mapping class group of genus g.

We are finally in a position to give a proof of Theorem 1.2, whose statement we now recall:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $g \geq 1$. For every $k \leq 2g/3$, the k-th homology group $H_k(\mathcal{B}_g, \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to the k-th stable homology group of the pure mapping class group of genus g.*

Proof. We translate the proof of [26, Theorem 3.1] to our setting. First, the resolution of Mumford's conjecture by Madsen-Weiss [45] implies that $H_k(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Q})$ has finite dimension over $\mathbb{Q}$. Now, we apply the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence method to the short exact sequence (1), which recall reads

$$1 \to \mathrm{PMod}(\Sigma_g) \to \mathcal{B}_g \to V \to 1.$$

In other words, there is a spectral sequence approximating the homology of $\mathcal{B}_g$ whose second page is

$$E^2_{pq} = H_p(V, H_q(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Q})).$$

We now claim that V acts trivially on $H_q(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Q})$ for all q. Accepting this claim for the moment, it follows that

$$H_p(V, H_q(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Q})) \cong H_p(V, \mathbb{Q}).$$

On the other hand, V is rationally acyclic [16], and hence the only non-trivial possibility for the right-hand side is $p = 0$. In particular, the spectral sequence for the homology of $\mathcal{B}_g$ collapses at the second page, which implies that

$$H_q(\mathcal{B}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_q(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Q})$$

for all q, as desired. Thus it remains to show:

Claim. V acts trivially on $H_q(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof of claim. Since $H_k(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g), \mathbb{Q})$ has finite dimension over $\mathbb{Q}$, we get a finite-dimensional representation $V \to \mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{Q})$, for some N. Since V is simple, it follows that this representation is either trivial or injective. On the other hand, every finitely-generated subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{Q})$ is residually finite, while V is not. In particular, the given representation is trivial. □

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

8. Injections between asymptotic mapping class groups

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4, whose statement we now recall:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $g, h \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$. If $g < h$, then there are no A-injective maps from $\mathcal{B}_h$ to $\mathcal{B}_g$.*

We remind the reader that, given groups G, H, we say that a homomorphism $\phi : G \to H$ is *A-injective* if for every subgroup $G_0 < G$ with $G_0 \cong \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have that $\phi_{|G_0}$ is injective.

First, we observe that $\mathcal{B}_g$ has subgroups isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}^2$ for all g:

Lemma 8.1. *For every $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, $\mathcal{B}_g$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}^2$.*

Before we give the proof of the lemma, we need some definitions. First, given a surface S (possibly of infinite type), the *curve complex* $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is the flag simplicial complex whose k-simplices are sets of $k+1$ pairwise disjoint curves on S.

Now, given a simplicial graph K, the *right-angled Artin group* A_K defined by K is the group generated by the vertices of K, subject to the relations that two generators commute if and only if they are connected by an edge in K. With these definitions, we have:

Proof of Lemma 8.1. Let K be the finite simplicial graph with three vertices and exactly one edge. It is easy to see that K embeds into $\mathcal{C}(S_{k,n})$ for all k, provided n is sufficiently big; in fact, as long as $k \geq 2$, this is true for all n. Now, [44, Proposition 7.16] implies that the right-angled Artin group A_K embeds as a subgroup of $\mathrm{PMod}(S_{k,n})$. The result now follows since A_K is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}^2$, and $\mathcal{B}_g$ contains $\mathrm{PMod}(S_{g,n})$ for every $n \geq 1$, by Lemma 4.6. □

We can now prove Theorem 1.4:

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $g, h \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, with $g < h$. Seeking a contradiction, suppose there were an A-injective map

$$\phi : \mathcal{B}_h \to \mathcal{B}_g.$$

Recall the short exact sequence (1)

$$1 \to \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g) \to \mathcal{B}_g \to V.$$

A result of Bleak-Salazar [9] and Corwin [20] asserts that Thompson's group V does not have subgroups of the form $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}^2$. In particular, since ϕ is A-injective, it gives rise to a non-trivial map (for n sufficiently big if $g \leq 2$)

$$\mathrm{PMod}(S_{h,n}) \to \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g).$$

Since $\mathrm{PMod}(S_{h,n})$ is finitely presented and $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ is a direct limit of finitely presented groups, by Lemma 4.6, we deduce that there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a nontrivial map

$$\mathrm{PMod}(S_{h,n}) \to \mathrm{PMod}(S_{g,m}).$$

But every such map is trivial, by [3, Theorem 1.1] or [19]. Hence we get the desired contradiction. □

As mentioned in the introduction, since every isomorphism is A-injective, we get the following classification of asymptotic mapping class groups up to isomorphism:

Corollary 1.5. *Let $g, h \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$. Then $\mathcal{B}_g \cong \mathcal{B}_h$ if and only if $g = h$.*

9. The automorphism group of $\mathcal{B}_g$

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.6. Throughout, $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ will denote the automorphism group of the group G.

9.1. **Automorphisms of $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$.** The first ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.6 is the following:

Proposition 9.1. *If $g < \infty$ then $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)) = \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$.*

Remark 9.2. We note that, prior to this work, this result had been obtained by Patel-Vlamis [46] for $g \geq 4$.

Before explaining a proof of Proposition 9.1, we note the following easy observation:

Lemma 9.3. *If $g < \infty$ then $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$.*

Proof. Let $g \in \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ and let Z be a support for g. Consider an arbitrary $h \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$, noting that any P-suited subsurface W containing $h(Z)$ is a support for hgh^{-1}. Moreover, hgh^{-1} induces the trivial permutation on the set of boundary components of W, and therefore $hgh^{-1} \in \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma)$. □

In order to prove Proposition 9.1, we follow Ivanov's strategy for proving that automorphisms of (sufficiently complicated) finite-type mapping class groups are conjugations. First, we observe:

Lemma 9.4. *Let $g < \infty$. Then, every automorphism of $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ sends Dehn twists to Dehn twists.*

We stress that, if $4 \leq g < \infty$, then Lemma 9.4 quickly follows from [3, Corollary 1.5] plus the fact that $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ is a direct limit of P-suited subsurfaces of Σ_g (Lemma 4.6). We now present a simpler argument, valid for arbitrary g, which was suggested to us by Juan Souto:

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g))$. We take an arbitrary P-suited subsurface $Z \subset \Sigma_g$ of genus g; in addition, we will assume that Z has complexity at least 3 (note that the latter assumption is only relevant if $g < 3$). Since $\mathrm{PMod}(Z)$ is finitely presented and $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ is a direct limit of pure mapping class groups of P-suited subsurfaces of genus g, by Lemma 4.6, we deduce that there exists a P-suited subsurface $W \subset \Sigma_g$ such that

$\phi(\mathrm{PMod}(Z)) < \mathrm{PMod}(W)$. Let W^* be the (compact) subsurface of W supporting $\phi(\mathrm{PMod}(Z))$; in particular, this implies that $\phi(\mathrm{PMod}(Z)) < \mathrm{PMod}(W^*)$, and that $\mathrm{PMod}(W^*)$ and $\phi(\mathrm{PMod}(Z))$ have the same centralizer in $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$.

Now, observe that, given a compact subsurface $Y \subset \Sigma_g$, the rank of the center of the centralizer of $\mathrm{PMod}(Y)$ in $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ is equal to the number of boundary components of Y. In particular, since $\mathrm{PMod}(Z)$ and $\phi(\mathrm{PMod}(Z))$ are isomorphic, the discussion of the previous paragraph implies that W^* and Z have the same number of boundary components. Again, [3] or [19] imply that they have equal genus, as Z has genus g and $\phi(\mathrm{PMod}(Z)) < \mathrm{PMod}(W^*)$. In other words, we have deduced that Z and W^* are homeomorphic. We claim:

Claim. The isomorphism $\phi : \mathrm{PMod}(Z) \to \mathrm{PMod}(W^*)$ is induced by a homeomorphism $Z \to W^*$.

Proof of Claim. If Z has genus ≥ 2, then the claim is [19, Theorem 9]. We now sketch an argument valid for arbitrary genus.

The center C_Z of $\mathrm{PMod}(Z)$ is the free abelian group generated by the Dehn twists along the boundary components of Z. Observe that ϕ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{PMod}(Z)/C_Z \to \mathrm{PMod}(W^*)/C_{W^*}, \tag{7}$$

and that $\mathrm{PMod}(Z)/C_Z$ is isomorphic to the pure mapping class group of the surface which results from gluing a punctured disk to every boundary component of Z. By the work of numerous authors (see, for instance, [4, Theorem 1.3] for a comprehensive statement), the isomorphism (7) is induced by a homeomorphism f between the punctured surfaces. To see that f extends to a homeomorphism $Z \to W^*$ inducing ϕ, we use the well-known *lantern relation* (see, for instance, [24, Section 5]). Indeed, as the complexity of Z is large enough, given a boundary component $a \subset \partial Z$ we may find a collection $b_1, \ldots, b_6$ of six curves, all of them essential in Z, such that the Dehn twist along a is expressed as a product of (suitable powers of) Dehn twists along the b_i's, via the lantern relation. Thus the claim follows. □

The claim above implies that $\phi : \mathrm{PMod}(Z) \to \mathrm{PMod}(W^*)$ is induced by a homeomorphism $Z \to W^*$, and in particular sends Dehn twists to Dehn twists. The lemma now follows since Z was arbitrary and every curve on Σ_g is contained in some P-suited subsurface of genus g. □

Continuing with the arguments towards a proof of Proposition 9.1, we next claim that every automorphism of $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ induces an automorphism of the curve complex $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_g)$. Indeed, let $\phi : \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g) \to \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ be an automorphism. By Lemma 9.4, given a curve $c \in \Sigma_g$ there exists a curve d such that $\phi(T_c) = T_d$, where T_a denotes the (left) Dehn twist about

the curve a. Since $T_a = T_b$ if and only if $a = b$, we get that the curve d above is in fact unique, and hence ϕ induces a well-defined map

$$\phi_* : \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_g) \to \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_g)$$

by the rule $\phi_*(c) = d$. Observe that the map ϕ_* is simplicial, since two Dehn twists commute if and only if the defining curves are disjoint. Moreover, it is bijective, with inverse the simplicial self-map of $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_g)$ induced by ϕ^{-1}.

Now, Hernández-Morales-Valdez [38] proved the following analogue of Ivanov's celebrated result [39] on automorphisms of the curve complex:

Theorem 9.5 ([38])**.** *Let $g < \infty$. Then the group of simplicial automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_g)$ is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$.

We are finally in a position to prove Proposition 9.1:

Proof of Proposition 9.1. Let $g < \infty$. We wish to show that the natural homomorphism

$$\Lambda : \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g))$$

is an isomorphism. We first prove that Λ is surjective. To this end, let $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g))$, and consider the automorphism $\phi_* : \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_g) \to \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_g)$ induced by ϕ. By Theorem 9.5, there exists a unique $f \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ with $f(c) = \phi_*(c)$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_g)$. In fact, up to precomposing ϕ with f^{-1}, we may assume that $\phi_*(c) = c$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_g)$.

Using again a direct translation of a classical argument in the finite-type setting, we will prove that the automorphism ϕ is in fact conjugation by f. To this end, let $h \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$, and choose an arbitrary curve c on Σ_g. We have:

$$\phi(hT_ch^{-1}) = \phi(T_{h(c)}) = T_{f(h(c))} = T_{h(c)}.$$

On the other hand, :

$$\phi(hT_ch^{-1}) = \phi(h)\phi(T_c)\phi(h)^{-1} = \phi(h)T_{f(c)}\phi(h)^{-1} = T_{\phi(h)(c)}$$

Combining both equations, we obtain that $h(c) = \phi(h)(c)$ for every curve c on Σ_g. By the infinite-type Alexander method (see [38]), we deduce that $h = \phi(h)$ for every $h \in \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$. In other words, ϕ is the identity on $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$, as desired. □

9.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.6.** We now embark in the proof of Theorem 1.6. The key ingredient is the following unpublished result of Kapoudjian:

Lemma 9.6 (Kapoudjian)**.** *Let $g < \infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_g$. Then $f \in \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ if and only if for any finite set $\{f_i\} \subset \mathcal{B}_g$ there exists $h \in \mathcal{B}_g$ such that $f \in N_{\mathcal{B}_g}(h)$ and $[h, f_i f f_i^{-1}] = 1$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_g$. Suppose first that $f \in \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$, and let $\{f_i\} \subset \mathcal{B}_g$ be an arbitrary finite set. Choose a minimal support Z for f, so that $f(Z) = Z$ and f is identity outside Z. Observe that $f_i(Z)$ is a support for $f_i f f_i^{-1}$. Then we may take $h_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $h_0(Z) \cap f_i(Z) = \emptyset$ for all i, and set $h = h_0 f h_0^{-1}$, which commutes with $f_i f f_i^{-1}$.

For the opposite direction let Z be a defining surface for f; recall this means that f sends Z to $f(Z)$, and is rigid in the complement of $Z \cup f(Z)$. Up to enlarging Z if necessary, we may assume that Z has at least five boundary components. We now choose some finite set $\{f_i\} \subset \mathcal{B}_g$ as in the statement, and which furthermore satisfies that the f_i all send Z to itself, and that the group generated by the f_i induces every possible permutation of the set of boundary components of Z.

Seeking a contradiction, suppose that $f \notin \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$. Then there exists a connected component of $\Sigma_g - Z$ whose image by f is disjoint from itself. This implies that every defining surface of any $h \in \mathcal{B}_g$ as in the statement must be contained in Z. Furthermore, the permutation of the set of boundary components of Z induced by h must commute with every element of the conjugacy class of the (nontrivial) permutation induced by f. Now, if a permutation in a symmetric group on $k \geq 5$ elements commutes with every conjugate of a non-trivial permutation, then σ is the trivial permutation. In particular, the permutation induced by h is the trivial one, and $h \in \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$. Hence f belongs to the normalizer of $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ in $\mathcal{B}_g$, contradicting our assumption. □

As an immediate consequence we get:

Corollary 9.7. *For every $g < \infty$, $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ is characteristic in $\mathcal{B}_g$.*

In the light of Corollary 9.7, every automorphism of $\mathcal{B}_g$ induces an automorphism of $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$, which we have already determined in Proposition 9.1. Now, in order to calculate the automorphism group of $\mathcal{B}_g$ from this, we will make use of the following small technical result – this is surely well-known, but we include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 9.8. *Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G, and suppose it has trivial centralizer in G. Suppose $\psi : G \to G$ is an injective homomorphism of G such that $\psi_{|H} = \mathrm{id}_H$. Then $\psi = \mathrm{id}_G$.*

Proof. Since ψ is the identity on H and H is normal in G we have that, for every $f \in G$ and every $h \in H$,

$$fhf^{-1} = \psi(fhf^{-1}) = \psi(f)\psi(h)\psi(f^{-1}) = \psi(f)h\psi(f^{-1}).$$

Since h is arbitrary, it follows that $\psi(f^{-1})f$ belongs to the centralizer of H in G, and therefore $\psi(f) = f$, by hypothesis. Since f is also arbitrary, the result follows. □

The motivation for Lemma 9.8 is the following claim:

Lemma 9.9. *The centralizer of $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ in $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ is trivial.*

Proof. Suppose $f \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ commutes with every element of $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$, and in particular with every Dehn twist. In particular, we have that

$$c = fT_c f^{-1} = T_{f(c)},$$

for every curve c on Σ_g. But, as we mentioned in the proof of Proposition 9.1, the Alexander method of [38] implies that every element of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ that fixes every curve on Σ_g is the identity. □

We are finally in a position to prove Theorem 1.6:

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We first prove that the natural homomorphism

$$N_{\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)}(\mathcal{B}_g) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{B}_g)$$

is surjective. To this end, let $\phi : \mathcal{B}_g \to \mathcal{B}_g$ be an automorphism. By Corollary 9.7, ϕ induces an automorphism

$$\phi_c : \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g) \to \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g),$$

which is the restriction of ϕ to $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$. Proposition 9.1 implies that there exists $\Phi \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ such that ϕ_c is conjugation by Φ, denoted Ad_Φ.

From the proof of Lemma 9.4 for any (compact) P-suited subsurface $X \subset \Sigma_g$ of genus g, there exists a compact subsurface $Y = \Phi(X) \subset \Sigma_g$ such that the restriction $\phi_c|_{\mathrm{PMod}(X)}$ sends $\mathrm{PMod}(X)$ isomorphically onto $\mathrm{PMod}(Y)$.

Observe that for any P-suited subsurface $X \subset \Sigma_g$ the group $\mathrm{Mod}(X)$ admits a canonical embedding into $\mathcal{B}_g$, by extending rigidly classes of homeomorphisms of X to classes of homeomorphisms of Σ_g. This procedure could be extended, but not canonically, to any compact subsurface $Y \subset \Sigma_g$ of genus g. Indeed there exists a compact P-suited subsurface $Z \subset \Sigma_g$ containing Y. By further adjoining pair of pants to Y, where needed, one can assume that all components of $Z \setminus Y$ are pairwise homeomorphic. We first embed $\mathrm{Mod}(Y)$ into $\mathrm{Mod}(Z)$, and then embed $\mathrm{Mod}(Z)$ into $\mathcal{B}_g$. The image of $\mathrm{Mod}(Y)$ into $\mathcal{B}_g$ depends on the choice of Z and of an element of $\mathrm{Mod}(Z \setminus Y)$. However, we have:

Lemma 9.10. *For any P-suited subsurface $X \subset \Sigma_g$ of genus g, the restriction $\phi|_{\mathrm{Mod}(X)}$ sends* $\mathrm{Mod}(X)$ *isomorphically onto some copy of* $\mathrm{Mod}(\Phi(X))$. *Moreover, $\phi|_{\mathrm{Mod}(X)}$ is conjugacy by Φ.*

Proof of the Lemma. As $\phi|_{\mathrm{PMod}(X)}$ is the conjugacy by Φ, we have $\phi(T_c) = T_{\Phi(c)}$, when $c \in \partial X$ is a boundary circle. The stabilizer of the set $\{T_c; c \in \partial X\}$ in $\mathcal{B}_g$ is the stabilizer of the simplex of $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_g)$ determined by the set of curves in ∂X, with respect to the $\mathcal{B}_g$-action. We call it the stabilizer of the multicurve.

Let Y be a compact subsurface of Σ_g and denote by $S_{\partial Y}$ the permutation group on the set of boundary components of Y. There are canonical homomorphisms sending a mapping class into the corresponding induced permutation:

$$\mathrm{Mod}(Y) \to S_{\partial Y}, \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g \setminus Y) \to S_{\partial Y}$$

We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(Y) \times_{S_{\partial Y}} \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g \setminus Y)$ the fibred product of the two homomorphisms above, making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Mod}(Y) \times_{S_{\partial Y}} \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g \setminus Y) & \to & \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g \setminus Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Mod}(Y) & \to & S_{\partial Y} \end{array}$$

Assume now that Y has genus g. Then the stabilizer of the multicurve ∂Y in $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ is $\mathrm{Mod}(Y) \times_{S_{\partial Y}} \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g \setminus Y)$.

Further, the stabilizer in $\mathcal{B}_g$ of the multicurve ∂X should be sent by ϕ into the stabilizer of the multicurve ∂Y, where $Y = \Phi(X)$. Since $\mathrm{Mod}(X)$ stabilizes ∂X we derive that $\phi(\mathrm{Mod}(X)) \subset \mathrm{Mod}(Y) \times_{S_{\partial Y}} \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g \setminus Y)$.

If π_1 and π_2 denote, respectively, the projections onto the first and second factors of the fibred product then we derive a map $\pi_1 \circ \phi : \mathrm{Mod}(X) \to \mathrm{Mod}(Y)$, such that $\pi_1 \circ \phi|_{\mathrm{PMod}(X)}$ is the conjugation Ad_Φ by Φ.

We claim that $\pi_1 \circ \phi = Ad_\Phi$. By composing with Ad_Φ^{-1} this reduces to show that if a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathrm{Mod}(X) \to \mathrm{Mod}(X)$ restricts to identity on $\mathrm{PMod}(X)$ then φ is the identity. If $h \in \mathrm{Mod}(X)$ sends a boundary component a into b, then

$$T_b = \varphi(T_{h(a)}) = \varphi(hT_ah^{-1}) = \varphi(h)T_a\varphi(h)^{-1} = T_{\varphi(h)a}$$

so that $\varphi(h)a = b$. Thus the homomorphism $S_{\partial X} \to S_{\partial X}$ induced by φ is identity. Then the five lemma implies that φ is injective and Lemma 9.8 permits to conclude, as the centralizer of $\mathrm{PMod}(X)$ into $\mathrm{Mod}(X)$ is trivial, if the complexity of the surface X is large enough.

Further, the image $\pi_2 \circ \phi(\mathrm{PMod}(X))$ is trivial, since $\phi(\mathrm{PMod}(X)) = \mathrm{PMod}(Y)$. Therefore $\pi_2 \circ \phi$ factors through the quotient $\mathrm{Mod}(X)/\mathrm{PMod}(X)$, namely $S_{\partial X}$, and the map $S_{\partial X} \to \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g \setminus Y) \to S_{\partial Y}$ is the isomorphism induced by the conjugation Ad_Φ. This implies that there exists a section $S_{\partial Y} \to \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma \setminus Y)$ which determines an embedding of $\mathrm{Mod}(Y)$ into $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ so that $\phi(\mathrm{Mod}(X))$ is the image of $\mathrm{Mod}(Y)$. □

This lemma shows that the natural map $\phi : \mathrm{Mod}(X) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ coincides with Ad_Φ. Now, an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 is that $\mathcal{B}_g$ has a system of generators consisting uniquely of elements belonging to $\mathrm{Mod}(X)$ for some P-suited subsurfaces X. In particular, ϕ and Ad_Φ agree on $\mathcal{B}_g$. Since Ad_Φ preserves $\mathcal{B}_g$, $\Phi \in N_{\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)}(\mathcal{B}_g)$, as claimed.

To see that the homomorphism $N_{\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{B}_g)$ is injective, suppose that $\Phi \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ induces the identity automorphism of $\mathcal{B}_g$. Then Φ also induces the identity automorphism of $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ which implies, in particular, that it fixes every Dehn twist. At this point, Corollary 9.7 tells us that $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ is normal in $\mathcal{B}_g$, since it is characteristic. In addition, $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ has trivial centralizer in $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$, and therefore in $\mathcal{B}_g$, by Lemma 9.9. Hence, Lemma 9.8 implies that Φ is identity. □

9.3. **On the normalizer of $\mathcal{B}_g$.** We saw in Theorem 1.6 that the automorphism group of $\mathcal{B}_g$ coincides with its normalizer in $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$, as long

as $2 \leq 2 < \infty$. We are going to explore some basic properties of this normalizer; as we will see, these represent a certain departure from the case of finite-type mapping class groups. First, we have:

Lemma 9.11. *Let $g < \infty$. Let G be a group with $\mathcal{B}_g \subset G \subset \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$. Setting $V_G = G/\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$, there is a natural surjective homomorphism:*

$$N_{\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)}(G) \to N_{\mathrm{Homeo}(C)}(V_G),$$

where $C = \mathrm{Ends}(\Sigma_g)$.

Proof. As $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ is a normal subgroup of G, the map

$$N_{\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)}(G) \to \mathrm{Aut}(G/\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g))$$

that sends every element of the normalizer to the automorphism given by conjugation by that element is a well-defined homomorphism. Further, by a deep theorem of Rubin (see [50]) there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Aut}(G/\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)) \to N_{\mathrm{Homeo}(C)}(V_G).$$

Finally, any element of V_G lifts to an element of G, so that the homomorphism in the statement is surjective. □

A recent result [10] shows that the outer automorphism group $\mathrm{Out}(V)$ of V is infinite. Combining this with the lemma above, we obtain:

Corollary 9.12. *Let $g < \infty$. The homomorphism $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{B}_g) \to \mathrm{Out}(V)$ is surjective. In particular, $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{B}_g)$ is an infinite group.*

We remark that a theorem of Ivanov [39] mentioned above asserts that $\mathrm{Out}(\mathrm{Mod}(S_{g,n}))$ is always a finite group (in fact, trivial in all but finitely many cases). Thus Corollary 1.7 represents a limitation in the dictionary between asymptotic and finite-type mapping class groups.

Finally, we prove that the homomorphism of Corollary 1.7 has infinite kernel:

Lemma 9.13. *Let $g < \infty$. Then the kernel of the homomorphism $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{B}_g) \to \mathrm{Out}(V)$ is infinite.*

Proof. Consider first the surface Σ_0^1, which recall that is homeomorphic to a sphere minus the union of a Cantor set and an isolated puncture. Using a totally analogous argument to that of Lemma 9.11, we deduce that there is a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{B}_0^1) \to \mathrm{Out}(V) \tag{8}$$

Let P be the pants decomposition underlying the rigid structure on Σ_0^1, and consider the element $t_\infty \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_0^1)$ obtained as the product of all (say left) half-twists about the elements of P.

We claim that $t_\infty \in N_{\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_0^1)}(\mathcal{B}_0^1)$. To see this, observe that if Z is a defining surface of an element $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_g$, then each connected component of $\Sigma_0^1 - Z$ is preserved by $t_\infty \varphi t_\infty^{-1}$; moreover, this element acts as the identity on every

such component. In particular, $t_\infty \varphi t_\infty^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_0^1$ and thus $t_\infty \in N_{\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_0^1)}(\mathcal{B}_0^1)$. Furthermore, the short exact sequence (1) implies that $t_\infty^d \notin \mathcal{B}_0^1$ unless $d = 0$, since t_∞^d fixes every end of Σ_0^1 but does not have compact support unless $d = 0$. Hence it provides an example of an infinite order element in $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{B}_0^1)$. On the other hand, its image under the homomorphism (8) is obviously trivial, and thus this homomorphism has infinite kernel.

After all this discussion, we treat the case of Σ_g. To do so, we simply embed Σ_0^1 in Σ_g in such way that the pants decomposition P underlying the rigid structure of Σ_0^1 is sent to the pants decomposition underlying the rigid structure of Σ_g. Using the same arguments as above, we deduce that the image of t_∞ under the homomorphism induced by the embedding $\Sigma_0^1 \to \Sigma_g$ produces an infinite-order element in the kernel of the homomorphism $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{B}_g) \to \mathrm{Out}(V)$. □

10. Homomorphisms from lattices and Property (T)

We start this section by proving Theorem 1.9, which is a direct consequence of the existence of the short exact sequence (1).

Before we prove the result, recall that a discrete group G is said to have *Kazhdan's Property (T)* if every action of G by continuous affine isometries on a real Hilbert space has a fixed point. On the other hand, G has the *Haagerup property* if it admits a proper action by continuous affine isometries on a Hilbert space. It follows that if G has both properties, then G is finite. We refer the reader to the book [5] for a thorough exposition of these properties. We may now prove Theorem 1.9, whose statement we now recall:

Theorem 1.9. *Let $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$. Then $\mathcal{B}_g$ does not have Property (T).*

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, and recall from equation (1) that there is a surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{B}_g \to V$. It is known (see, for instance, [5, Theorem 1.7.1]) that Property (T) is preserved by passing to a quotient. On the other hand, a result of Farley [25] asserts that V has the Haagerup property. Since V is infinite, it follows that V does not have Property (T), and thus neither does $\mathcal{B}_g$. □

We now proceed to give a proof of Theorem 1.8. The proof is again based on properties of the group V, plus a result of Farb-Masur [24] which asserts that every homomorphic image of a lattice in a finite-type mapping class group is finite. More concretely, they proved:

Theorem 10.1 ([24])**.** *Let Γ be an irreducible lattice in a semisimple Lie group of real rank at least two. For every $g, n \geq 0$, every homomorphism $\Gamma \to \mathrm{Mod}(S_{g,n})$ has finite image.*

Before giving a proof of Theorem 1.8, we remind the reader of its statement:

Theorem 1.8. *Let Γ be a lattice in a semisimple Lie group G of real rank at least two, where G has no compact factors isogenous to $\mathrm{SU}(1,n)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(1,n)$. For every $g \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, any homomorphism $\Gamma \to \mathcal{B}_g$ has finite image.*

Proof. Let G be a Lie group as in the statement. As such, G has Property (T) (see [53, Theorem 13.2.4], for instance), and therefore so does Γ (see [5, Theorem 1.7.1]). For such a lattice Γ, let

$$\phi : \Gamma \to \mathcal{B}_g$$

be a homomorphism. Recall again the short exact sequence (1):

$$1 \to \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g) \to \mathcal{B}_g \xrightarrow{p} V \to 1.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.9, the fact that V has the Haagerup property implies that $(p \circ \phi)(\Gamma)$ is finite. But $\phi(\Gamma) \cap \ker(p)$ is also finite. To see this, observe that since Γ is finitely presented (see [53, Theorem 4.7.10]), Lemma 4.6 implies that there exists a finite-type subsurface S of Σ_g such that $\phi(\Gamma) \cap \ker(p)$ is contained in $\mathrm{PMod}(S)$. At this point, Theorem 10.1 tells us that $\phi(\Gamma) \cap \ker(p)$ is also finite, and the result follows. □

11. Asymptotic mapping class groups are not linear

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.10, which asserts that $\mathcal{B}_g$ does not admit an injective homomorphism into $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ for any n, provided g is finite. As mentioned in the introduction, we will do so by showing that $\mathcal{B}_g$ contains a copy of *Thompson's group F*. Recall that F is the group of piecewise-linear self-homeomorphisms of $[0,1]$ that preserve rational dyadic numbers, are differentiable except at finitely many dyadic rationals, and at each interval of differentiability the slopes are (positive) powers of 2.

A strongly related group which we will also need is *Thompson's group T*, whose definition is the same as that of F but with the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ instead of $[0,1]$. One has the well-known inclusions $F \subset T \subset V$; for a proof, as well as a detailed discussion on Thompson's groups, see [18]. We now prove:

Proposition 11.1. *Let $g < \infty$. The short exact sequence* (1)*, that is*

$$1 \to \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g) \to \mathcal{B}_g \to V \to 1,$$

splits over Thompson's group F.

Proof. The result is known for $g = 0$ (see [43, 26]), where in addition it is shown that the sequence (1) $\mathcal{B}_0$ splits over Thompson group's T, identified with the subgroup of $\mathcal{B}_0$ preserving the whole visible side of Σ_0.

Consider now a disk punctured along a Cantor set, and denote it $\Sigma_{0,1}$. The surface $\Sigma_{0,1}$ comes equipped with an obvious rigid structure that comes from that of Σ_0 under the natural subsurface embedding $\Sigma_{0,1} \hookrightarrow \Sigma_0$.

We regard $\Sigma_{0,1}$ as properly embedded into an annulus $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,1]$, so that the Cantor set is the dyadic Cantor subset of $C \times \{1\} \subset \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1\}$. We fix an identification of T with the group of orientation-preserving, piecewise

affine-dyadic (i.e. with dyadic break points and dyadic slopes) homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}$ preserving the Cantor set. Denote by $\widetilde{T}$ be the group of asymptotically rigid mapping classes of $\Sigma_{0,1}$ which preserve the visible side. Then $\widetilde{T}$ is a central extension of T by the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by the Dehn twist along the boundary curve of $\Sigma_{0,1}$.

Let φ be a homeomorphism of $\Sigma_{0,1}$ representing the class $x \in \widetilde{T}$. Observe that the universal cover $\widetilde{\Sigma_{0,1}}$ is properly embedded into the strip $\mathbb{R} \times [0,1]$. Let $\widetilde{\varphi}$ be the lift of φ to $\widetilde{\Sigma_{0,1}}$ that is identity on the boundary line $\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}$, and note that the restriction of $\widetilde{\varphi}|_{C \times \{0\}}$ only depends on the class x. This provides an isomorphism between $\widetilde{T}$ and the group of increasing piecewise-affine dyadic homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$ which commute with the translation $y \mapsto y + 1$. The latter group is the central extension of T associated to the Euler class (see [31, Theorem D]), which is known to split over F. □

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.10:

Proof of Theorem 1.10. Let $g < \infty$. By Proposition 11.1, B_g contains an isomorphic copy of Thompson's group F. Now, F has simple commutator subgroup [18], and in particular is not residually finite. Since finitely-generated subgroups of linear groups are residually finite and F is finitely generated, the result follows. □

Appendix: A dense universal mapping class group

In this section we introduce a close relative of $\mathcal{B}_g$, namely the group $\mathcal{H}_g$ of *half-twists*, introduced in [28] in the case $g = 0$ (under the name $\mathcal{B}^{1/2}$ therein). By definition, $\mathcal{H}_g$ properly contains $\mathcal{B}_g$, and below we will prove that $\mathcal{H}_g$ is still finitely presented. Nevertheless, we will see in Theorems 1.11 and 11.8 that the main source of interest for studying the group $\mathcal{H}_g$ is that it serves as a finitely-presented approximation of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$. Indeed, we will show that $\mathcal{H}_g$ is dense in $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$.

Before continuing we remark that, while we will prove that $\mathcal{H}_g$ satisfies a number of properties analogous to the ones we established for $\mathcal{B}_g$ in the previous sections (for instance, Theorems 11.3 and 11.5 below) we will not be able to show that they all hold true. The main obstacle in this direction is that, although $\mathcal{H}_g$ will fit in a short exact sequence (see (9) below) analogous to the short exact sequence (1) for $\mathcal{B}_g$, the group that will play the rôle of Thompson's group V is less studied than V is. It seems plausible, however, that once this hurdle has been removed, all of our main results for $\mathcal{B}_g$ will hold for $\mathcal{H}_g$ as well.

We now continue by defining the signed Thompson's group $V^{\pm}$, and the group of half-twists $\mathcal{H}_g$.

The signed Thompson's group. The *signed Thompson's group* is the group of right-continous bijections of the unit circle that map the set of dyadic rationals to itself, are differentiable except at finitely many points,

and such that, on every interval of differentiability, they are affine maps whose derivatives are (positive or negative) powers of 2.

The group $V^{\pm}$ first appeared in [29] as the group of those homeomorphisms of a Cantor set embedded in a 2-sphere which extend to smooth diffeomorphisms of the sphere.

In the light of the definition above, the group $V^{\pm}$ is closely related to Thompson's group V. By analogy with the equivalent definition of V in terms of trees (compare with section 5), every element of $V^{\pm}$ is encoded by an *enhanced symbol* $(T, T', \sigma, \varepsilon)$, where T, T' are admissible trees, $\sigma : \partial T \to \partial T'$ is a bijection and $\varepsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\partial T'}$, up to leaf equivalence as defined in section 5.

The group $\mathcal{H}_g$. Let $g < \infty$, and fix a rigid structure on Σ_g. The group $\mathcal{H}_g$ is the group of isotopy classes of *asymptotically quasi-rigid* (as in Definition 4.2) homeomorphisms $\Sigma_g \to \Sigma_g$. As proved in [29], $\mathcal{H}_0$ is the group of C^1-diffeomorphisms of the sphere minus a certain Cantor set.

Observe that the definition of $\mathcal{H}_g$ implies that $\mathcal{B}_g \subset \mathcal{H}_g$, and that we have an exact sequence:

$$1 \to \mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g) \to \mathcal{H}_g \to V^{\pm} \to 1, \tag{9}$$

which is itself a manifestation of the general short exact sequence (4) in section 5.

11.1. **Finite presentation.** Arguing along similar lines as we did in section 6, we are going to show that $\mathcal{H}_g$ is finitely presented for all g finite.

Write $(\mathcal{H}_0^b)$ for the group of isotopy classes of asymptotically quasi-rigid homeomorphisms of Σ_0^b, which recall is the surface obtained from Σ_0 by removing b isolated points. By using a minor adaptation of the argument in Proposition 6.3, we get:

Proposition 11.2. *For every $b \geq 0$, the group $\mathcal{H}_0^b$ is finitely presented. Moreover, the group $V^{\pm}$ is finitely presented and simple.*

Proof. As was the case in the proof of Proposition 6.3, the reduced pants complex of Σ_0^b is a connected and simply-connected 2-complex on which $\mathcal{H}_0^b$ acts cocompactly. Moreover, the stabilizer in $\mathcal{H}_0^b$ of every cell is a finite extension of the corresponding stabilizer in $\mathcal{B}_0^b$; in particular, it is finitely presented. At this point, Brown's Theorem 6.2 yields that $\mathcal{H}_0^b$ is finitely presented.

Next, all Dehn twists are conjugate in $\mathcal{B}_0$. Then the normal subgroup in $\mathcal{H}_0^b$ generated by one Dehn twist coincides with the group generated by all Dehn twists, namely with $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$. Hence, adding to a finite presentation of $\mathcal{H}_0^b$ one more relator corresponding to a Dehn twist yields a presentation of $V^{\pm}$, which is therefore finitely presented.

To see that $V^{\pm}$ is simplie, first recall that a sufficiently transitive permutation group generated by elements of small support has simple commutator

(see [22]). The group $V^{\pm}$ inherits the sufficient transitivity from the V-action on the Cantor set (see e.g. [14], section 3). On the other hand $V^{\pm}$ is generated by the images of half-twists and V. This implies that $V^{\pm}$ is generated by elements of arbitrarily small support. Observe that all half twists are conjugate in $\mathcal{H}_0$ and hence in $V^{\pm}$, so that $H_1(V^{\pm})$ has order at most 2. Now, the class of (the image of) a half-twist in $V^{\pm}$ is the restriction of an affine orientation-reversing self-homeomorphism of an interval. It follows that a half twist of an interval is the composition of the permutation exchanging its two halves and the product of the half twists of each halve. This relation implies that $V^{\pm}$ is perfect and hence simple. □

Armed with Proposition 11.2, we may prove the analogue of Theorem 1.1 for the group of half-twists:

Theorem 11.3. *Let $g < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{H}_g$ is finitely presented.*

Proof. The group $\mathcal{H}_g$ acts on the cut-system complex $\mathcal{K}_g$, which is connected and simply-connected by Theorem 6.4. Since $\mathcal{B}_g$ acts cocompactly on $\mathcal{K}_g$ and $\mathcal{B}_g \subset \mathcal{H}_g$, it follows that the action of $\mathcal{H}_g$ on $\mathcal{K}_g$ is also cocompact.

Next, using the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the $\mathcal{H}_g$-stabiliser of a vertex (resp. edge) of $\mathcal{K}_g$ is, up to finite index, the group $\mathcal{H}_0^{2g}$ (resp $\mathcal{H}_0^{2g-1}$), which is finitely presented by Proposition 11.2. At this point, the result follows again from Brown's Theorem 6.2. □

In the light of Theorem 11.3, a natural question is the following (compare with Question 6.5 in section 6):

Question 11.4. *Is the group $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ finitely presented?*

11.2. **Automorphisms of $\mathcal{H}_g$.** A word-by-word translation of the arguments behind Theorem 1.6 show the following:

Theorem 11.5. *If $g < \infty$, then* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}_g) \cong N_{\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)}(\mathcal{H}_g)$.

Indeed, the the obvious translation of Kapoudjian's Lemma 9.6 to this setting tells us that $\mathrm{PMod}_c(\Sigma_g)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_g$. At this point, the proof proceeds word by word as in section 9.

11.3. **$\mathcal{H}_g$ is dense in** $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$**.** The goal of this final section is to prove Theorem 1.11, whose statement we now recall:

Theorem 1.11. *For every $g < \infty$, the group $\mathcal{H}_g$ is dense in* $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$.

We remark that, in the light of the theorem of [29] mentioned above, when $g = 0$ this result may be interpreted as stating that homeomorphisms may be approximated by diffeomorphisms.

As it turns out, Theorem 1.11 will be a consequence of a slightly stronger result, namely Theorem 11.8 below. Before we state it, we need some preliminaries. Let $g < \infty$ and fix, once and for all, a rigid structure on Σ_g. Recall from section 3 that the rigid structure is given by a separating curve

on Σ_g, which will be denoted $c(\emptyset)$ for reasons that will become apparent below, plus a rigid structure on the planar component Σ_g^* of $\Sigma_g - c(\emptyset)$. Let P be the pants decomposition underlying the rigid structure on Σ_g^*.

There is an obvious rooted tree T associated to P, whose vertices are the curves of P, with the root being $c(\emptyset)$. As such, every vertex of T is naturally labelled by a word w in the free semigroup $F(L,R)$ generated by the two letters L (left) and R (right). We denote by $c(w)$ the curve of P labelled by the word $w \in F(L,R)$, and write $h(w)$ for the half-twist about $c(w)$.

In turn, this labelling induces a labelling of the set of pairs of pants of Σ_g^* by words in $F(L,R)$. Indeed, we set $P(w)$ to be the unique P-suited pair of pants of Σ_g^* that has $c(w)$ as boundary component and is contained in the planar component of $\Sigma_g - c(w)$.

Finally, define $S(-\infty)$ to be the unique compact subsurface of Σ_g whose interior is homeomorphic to $S_{g,1}$, and whose boundary curve is $c(\emptyset)$. In addition, for each $w \in F(L,R)$, let $S(w)$ be a P-suited (therefore compact) subsurface whose interior is contained in $\Sigma_g^* - c(w)$, and which has $c(w)$ as a boundary component. Observe that the choice is far from unique; however, for any such choice, the set

$$\{S(w) \mid w \in F(L,R) \cup \{-\infty\}\}$$

is *proper*, in the sense that every compact subsurface of Σ_g intersects only finitely many elements of this set. Because of this, we will refer to any set $\{S(w) \mid w \in F(L,R) \cup \{-\infty\}\}$ as above as a *proper sequence of subsurfaces*.

After all this discussion, we have the following definition:

Definition 11.6 (Proper sequence of mapping classes)**.** A *proper sequence of mapping classes* is a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$, where

$$f_n = \prod_{\substack{w\in F(L,R)\cup\{-\infty\}\\ |w|\leq n}} f(S(w))h(w)^{\epsilon_w},$$

where:

(1) $f(S(w))$ is an element of $\mathrm{PMod}(S(w))$,
(2) $|w|$ denotes the length of the word w,
(3) $\epsilon_w = \pm 1$ for all w,
(4) The product is ordered lexicographically, and is defined from left to right.

Observe that if $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ is a proper sequence, then $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}_g$ as well. In addition, since the defining subsurfaces of the f_n form a proper subsequence of subsurfaces of Σ_g, we have:

Lemma 11.7. *If $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}_g$ is a proper sequence, then it has a limit in* $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$.

After all this preparation, we are ready to state and prove our final result. Endow $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ with the quotient topology coming from the compact-open topology on $\mathrm{Homeo}(\Sigma_g)$. We have:

Theorem 11.8. *If $g < \infty$, then every element of* $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ *may be obtained as a limit of a proper sequence in* $\mathcal{H}_g$.

Observe that Theorem 11.8 obviously implies Theorem 1.11.

Proof of Theorem 11.8. Let $f \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$ be an arbitrary mapping class. We will use an inductive argument, which we call a *straightening* of f, to produce a proper sequence of mapping classes whose limit is f.

Consider $c(\emptyset)$. By the classification of infinite-type surfaces up to homeomorphism [49] (Theorem 2.2 above), there exist a (compact) P-suited subsurface $S(-\infty)$, and an element $f_{-\infty}$ such that $f_{-\infty}f$ sends $c(\emptyset)$ to itself. We say that $f_{-\infty}f$ *straightens* $c(\emptyset)$.

Next, consider the curves $c(L)$ and $c(R)$. Again, there exists a P-suited planar subsurface $S(\emptyset)$ and an element $f_\emptyset \in \mathrm{PMod}(S(\emptyset))$ such that $f_\emptyset f_{-\infty} f$ sends the set $\{c(L), c(R)\}$ to itself. Therefore, up to precomposing with the half-twist $h(\emptyset)$ about $c(\emptyset)$ if necessary, we may in fact assume that $c(L)$ (resp. $c(R)$) is sent to itself. We say that $f_\emptyset f_{-\infty} f$ straightens the pair of pants $P(\emptyset)$.

We continue this process inductively to find, for all n, a proper sequence of (compact) P-suited subsurfaces $S(w)$ and elements $f(S(w)) \in \mathrm{PMod}(S(w))$ such that, setting

$$f_n := \prod_{\substack{w \in F(L,R) \cup \{-\infty\} \\ |w| \leq n}} f(S(w)) h(w)^{\epsilon_w},$$

the mapping class

$$(f_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

straightens every pair of pants $P(w)$ for $|w| \leq n$.

By Lemma 11.7, the sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to an element $f^* \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$, in such way that $f^* f$ fixes $c(\emptyset)$ and every element of P. Moreover, by composing with an appropriate power of a Dehn twist at each step, we may assume that $f^* f$ induces the trivial twist on each component of P. Therefore, $f^* f$ is an element of $\mathrm{PMod}(S(-\infty))$. In other words, we have shown that, up to precomposing $f_\emptyset$ with the inverse of this element, the sequence f_n converges to f in $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma_g)$, as desired. □

As an immediate consequence, writing $C = \mathrm{Ends}(\Sigma_g)$, we get:

Corollary 11.9. *The subgroup $V^{\pm}$ is dense in the group* $\mathrm{Homeo}(C)$ *in the compact-open topology.*

References

[1] J. E. Andersen, Mapping Class Groups do not have Kazhdan's Property (T), arXiv:0706.2184.

[2] R. D. Anderson, The algebraic simplicity of certain groups of homeomorphisms. *Amer. J. Math* 80 (1958), 955–963.

[3] J. Aramayona, J. Souto, Homomorphisms between mapping class groups, *Geom. Topol.* 16 (2012), 2285–2341.

[4] J. Behrstock, D. Margalit, Curve complexes and finite index subgroups of mapping class groups. *Geom. Dedicata* 118 (2006), 71–85.
[5] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe, A. Valette, *Kazhdan's Property (T)*. New Mathematical Monographs, 11. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
[6] M. Bestvina, K. Fujiwara, Bounded cohomology of subgroups of mapping class groups. *Geom. Topol.* 6 (2002), 69–89.
[7] S. Bigelow, R. Budney, The mapping class group of a genus two surface is linear, *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 34 (2001).
[8] J. Birman, A. Lubotzky, J. McCarthy, Abelian and solvable subgroups of the mapping class groups. *Duke Math. J.* 50 (1983), 1107-1120.
[9] C. Bleak, O. Salazar-Díaz, Free products in R. Thompson's group V. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 365 (2013), 5967–5997.
[10] Collin Bleak, Peter Cameron, Yonah Maissel, Andrés Navas, Feyishayo Olukoya, The further chameleon groups of Richard Thompson and Graham Higman: Automorphisms via dynamics for the Higman groups $G_{n,r}$, arXiv:1605.09302.
[11] S. Boldsen, Improved homological stability for the mapping class group with integral or twisted coefficients. *Math. Z.* 270 (2012), 297–329.
[12] M. G. Brin, The Algebra of Strand Splitting. I. A Braided Version of Thompsons Group V, *J. Group Theory* 10 (2007), 757–788.
[13] M. G. Brin, The chameleon groups of Richard J. Thompson: automorphisms and dynamics. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 84 (1996), 533 (1997).
[14] M.G.Brin, Higher dimensional Thompson groups, *Geometriae Dedicata* 108 (2004), 163–192.
[15] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 87. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
[16] K. S. Brown, The geometry of finitely presented infinite simple groups. *Algorithms and classification in combinatorial group theory*. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 23, Springer, New York, 1992.
[17] D. Calegari, https://lamington.wordpress.com/2009/06/22/big-mapping-class-groups-and-dynamics/
[18] J. W. Cannon, W. J. Floyd, W. R. Parry, Introductory notes on Richard Thompson's groups. *Enseign. Math.* (2) 42 (1996), 215–256.
[19] F. Castel, Geometric representations of the braid groups *, arXiv:1104.3698*.
[20] N. Corwin, *Embedding and non embedding results for R. Thompson's group V and related groups*. PhD thesis, University of Nebraska Lincoln, 2013
[21] P. Dehornoy, The group of parenthesized braids, Adv. Math. 205 (2006), 354–409.
[22] D. B. A. Epstein, The simplicity of certain groups of homeomorphisms, *Compositio Mathematica* 22 (1970), 165–173.
[23] B. Farb, H.Masur, Superrigidity and mapping class groups, Topology 37(1998), 1169–1176.
[24] B. Farb, D. Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton University Press 49 (2012).
[25] D. Farley, Proper isometric actions of Thompson's groups on Hilbert space. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2003, no. 45.
[26] L. Funar, C. Kapoudjian, An infinite genus mapping class group and stable cohomology. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 287 (2009), 787–804.
[27] L. Funar, C. Kapoudjian, On a universal mapping class group of genus zero. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 14 (2004), 965–1012.
[28] L. Funar, M. Nguyen, On the automorphisms group of the asymptotic pants complex of an infinite surface of genus zero, *Math. Nachrichten* 289(2016), 1189–1207.
[29] L. Funar and Y. Neretin, Diffeomorphisms groups of tame Cantor sets and Thompson-like groups, 36p., arXiv:1411.4855.

[30] L.Funar and V.Sergiescu, Central extensions of the Ptolemy-Thompson group and quantized Teichmuller theory, *J. Topology* 3(2010), 29–62.
[31] E.Ghys and V.Sergiescu, Sur un groupe remarquable de difféomorphismes du cercle, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 62 (1987), 185–239.
[32] E. Grossman, *On the residual finiteness of certain mapping class groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 9 (1974/75)
[33] T. Haettel, Hyperbolic rigidity of higher rank lattices. (With an appendix by V. Guirardel and C. Horbez). Preprint, arXiv:1607.02004
[34] J. L. Harer, Improved homology stability for the homology of the mapping class groups of surfaces. Preprint, DUK-M-9309 (1993).
[35] J. L. Harer, Stability of the homology of the mapping class groups of orientable surfaces. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 121 (1985), 215–249.
[36] J. L. Harer, The virtual cohomological dimension of the mapping class group of an orientable surface. *Invent. Math.* 84 (1986) 157–176.
[37] A. E. Hatcher, W. P. Thurston, A presentation for the mapping class group of aclosed orientable surface, *Topology*, 19 (1980) 221–237.
[38] J. Hernández, I. Morales, F. Valdez. In preparation.
[39] N. V. Ivanov, Automorphism of complexes of curves and of Teichmüller spaces. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 14 (1997), 651–666.
[40] N. V. Ivanov, Automorphisms of Teichmüller modular groups. In *Topology and geometry – Rohlin Seminar*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1346, Springer, Berlin, 1988, 199–270.
[41] N. V. Ivanov, Teichmüller modular groups and arithmetic groups, *Zapiski Nauchnnykh Seminarov Leningrad*. Otdeleni Mathematicheski Institut Steklov (LOMI) Vol. 167, 1988, 95–110.
[42] V. Kaimanovich, H. A. Masur, The Poisson boundary of the mapping class group. *Invent. Math.* 125 (1996), 221–264.
[43] C. Kapoudjian and V. Sergiescu, An extension of the Burau representation to a mapping class group associated to Thompsons group T. In Geometry and dynamics, Contemp. Math. 389 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2005, 141–164.
[44] T. Koberda, Right-angled Artin groups and a generalized isomorphism problem for finitely generated subgroups of mapping class groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 22 (2012), 1541–1590.
[45] I. B. Madsen, M. Weiss, The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford's conjecture. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 165 (2007), 843–941.
[46] P. Patel, N. Vlamis, Algebraic and topological properties of big mapping class group. *In preparation.*
[47] J. Powell, Two theorems on the mapping class group of a surface, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 68 (1978), 347–350.
[48] F. Raymond. The end point compactification of manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 947–963.
[49] I. Richards, On the classification of noncompact surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 106 (1963), 259–269.
[50] M. Rubin, Locally moving groups and reconstruction problems, Ordered groups and infinite permutation groups, Math. Appl., vol. 354, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1996, pp. 121–157.
[51] A. Verjovsky, Commentaries on the paper "Solenoidal manifolds" by Dennis Sullivan,roc. of Algebraic Methods in Geometry, Guanajuato, 2011, Journal of Singularities 9 (2014), 245–251.
[52] B. Wajnryb, An elementary approach to the mapping class group of a surface. *Geom. Topol.* 3 (1999), 405–466.
[53] D. Witte-Morris, *An introduction to arithmetic groups*. Deductive Press, 2015.